

6-1-05
PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 26 JAN 2005

WIPO

PCT


Rec'd PCT/PTO 21 MAR 2005 PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

527997

Applicant's or agent's file reference IEM030012PCT		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/CN03/00806	International filing date (day/month/year) 22.SEP.2003 (22.09.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20.SEP.2002 (20.09.2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC 7: B63G8/22 ; B63H9/00 ; B63H13/00 ; B63H16/00			
Applicant LIANG, Fuquan			
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>3</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and /or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of <u>4</u> sheets.</p>			
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report</p> <p>II <input type="checkbox"/> priority</p> <p>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty ,inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p>IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</p> <p>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2)with regard to novelty ,inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</p> <p>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</p> <p>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application.</p>			

Date of submission of the demand 03.DEC.2003(03.12.2003)	Date of completion of this report 31.DEC.2004(31.12.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/CN 6 Xitucheng Rd., Jimen Bridge, Haidian District, 100088 Beijing, China Facsimile No. 86-10-62019451	Authorized officer  Telephone No.86-10-62085437

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/CN03/00806

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:

- ☐ the international application as originally filed
- ☒ the description:
pages 2-6 ,as originally filed
pages _____ ,filed with the demand
pages 1, 1a ,filed with the letter of 16.JUL.2004(16.07.2004)
- ☒ the claims:
pages _____ ,as originally filed
pages _____ , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages _____ ,filed with the demand
pages 7-8 ,filed with the letter of 16.JUL.2004(16.07.2004)
- ☒ the drawings:
sheets 1-2 ,as originally filed
sheets/fig _____ ,filed with the demand
sheets/fig _____ ,filed with the letter of _____
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages _____ ,as originally filed
pages _____ ,filed with the demand
pages _____ ,filed with the letter of _____

2. with regard to the language ,all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims No. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/fig _____

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item I and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/CN03/00806**V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement****1. Statement:**

Novelty (N)

Claims 1-6

YES

Claims

NO

Inventive step (IS)

Claims 1-6

YES

Claims

NO

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims 1-6

YES

Claims

NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-6 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33 (2)-(4), because the prior art, disclosed in the documents that are listed in the search report and in the written opinion report(PCT/IPEA/408), alone or in combination, does not disclose, teach or fairly suggest the technical solutions defined by these claims.